NO.EXC/MTG/114.

BOMBAY METROPOLITAN REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, Griha Nirman Bhavan, 5th Floor, Bandra(East), BOMBAY 400 051,

6th April, 1988.

The minutes of the HUNDRED & FOURTEENTH Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority, held on the 16th March 1988 are enclosed.

Alger 6 4 88. (S.V.ASGAONKAR) SECRETARY,

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

To:

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, General Administration Department, Mantralaya.	Chairman
The Metropolitan Commissioner, B.M.R.D.A	Member
The Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Urban Development Department, Mantralaya.	Member
The Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Housing and Special Assistance Department, Mantralaya.	Member
The Municipal Commissioner, Bombay Municipal Corporation.	Member
The Managing Director, City & Industrial Development Corporation of Maharashtra.	Member
Shri Charles M. Correa, Correa Consultants Pvt. Ltd., 9, Mathew Road, Bombay 400 004.	. Member
Shri Shirish B. Patel, SPA Consultants PVT. Ltd., 41, Nagindas Master Road, Bombay 400 023.	. Member
Dr. P.G.Patankar, Director, Central Institute of Road Transport (Training and Research), Poona Nasik Road, Pune 411 026.	. Member
INVITEE :	
The Financial Adviser, B.M.R.D.A.	
Copy to:	

The Legal Adviser, B.M.R.D.A.

126

	MINUTES OF THE 114TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTION COMMITTEE, B.M.R.D.A.	IVE /
	DATE: 16th March, 1988 (Wednesday)TIME: 10.30 A.M.PLACE: Chief Secretary's Committee Room, 5th Floor, Mantralaya.	a de la come o se
MEMBERS	PRESENT :	de unité
	Shri K.G. Paranjpe, Chief Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra.	- Chairman
	Shri S.R. Kakodkar, Metropolitan Commissioner.	- Member
	Shri D.K. Jain, Secretary to the Govt. of Maharashtra, Urban Development Deptt.	- Member
	Shri D.K. Afzulpurkar, Secretary to the Govt. of Maharashtra, Housing & Special Assistance Department.	- Member
	Shri S.S. Tinaikar, Municipal Commissioner, Bombay Municipal Corporation.	- Member
1	Shri K. Nalinakshan, Managing Director, C.I.D.C.O., Bombay.	- Member
	Shri Shirish B. Patel.	- Member
	Dr. P.G. Patankar.	- Member

INVITEES

Shri S.R. Srinivasan, Financial Adviser, BMRDA; and Shri K.N. Patel, Legal Adviser.

Shri S.V. Asgaonkar, Secretary, Executive Committee, B.M.R.D.A.

Item No.1(a) : Confirmation of the minutes of the last (113th) Meeting of the Committee.

The minutes were confirmed.

p.t.o..2/-

-: 2 :-

Item No.1(b) : Action taken on the minutes of the last (113th) Meeting of the Committee.

The action taken report was noted by the Committee.

: Reconstruction of old and dilapidated Item No. 2 cessed buildings in the Island City of Bombay by landlords - Proposal for amendment of Notification under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act.

There was a prolonged discussion on this item during the course of which the following clarifications were offered and the points/proposals were made :-

1. The Secretary, Housing & Special Assistance Department gave the following clarifications :

Decision to grant FSI equal to 2 or 1.1. consumed FSI whichever is higher, was first taken in 1984. Thereafter the Prime Minister wrote a letter to the then Chief Minister on March 14, 1985 which was replied by the Chief Minister. Subsequently, a letter was received in November 1986, from the Department of Environment, Govt. of India, which was replied to on 26.2.1987. In both the letters, the entire position was explained.

1.2.

Rough estimates indicate that about 3,000 to 3,200 buildings which constitute 25% of the buildings on priority list (13,987) will require reconstruction as they are beyond economic repairs. Considering the fact that only 184 buildings have been reconstructed in the past 17 years at an estimated cost of Rs.53/- crores, the investment called for, as per guesstimate will be around 8.5,500 crores. It would not be possible for

the Govt. to find resources of that magnitude even in the next 15 to 20 years only for the programme of reconstruction of old buildings in the City of Bombay. Keeping this in view, participation of private initiative was considered important to give thrust to the programme.

-: 3 :-

1.3.

In the year 1986, Govt. promulgated an Ordinance which was subsequently converted into an Act conferring ownership rights on the occupiers of old and dilapidated cessed buildings of category 'A' and granting them FSI 2 or consumed FSI whichever is higher. This was done because of two reasons viz. :

- (a) In respect of buildings with consumed FSI of more than 2, if reconstructed through private or co-operative efforts, the floor space in the reconstructed building has to be restricted to 1.33 which effectively means reducing the floor space substantially, resulting in non-rehabilitation of a number of occupiers staying in the buildings today. This is acting as a strong dis-incentive to reconstruction through private or co-operative efforts. People are resorting to undertaking extensive repairs under the garb of repairs without adequately providing for structural stability - a trend which needs to be arrested.
- (b) Buildings with consumed FSI of less than 1.33 constitute only 5 to 6% of the total number of buildings and they will get an incentive for rebuilding of their structure in composite reconstruction schemes which involves grouping of buildings during reconstruction.

p.t.0..4/-

1.4. Due to pegging of rents at 1947 level, the incentive for landlords to invest in reconstruction is minimal or absolutely nil. Tenantable repairs are not being carried out as the landlords are paying cess and a plea is made that repairs should be carried out by the Board, though that is factually not correct. This is resulting in the deterioration of the buildings as the tenants do not take any interest. With a view, therefore, to ensuring that the property itself pays for reconstruction, the incentive has been thought of and the course of action at the moment set in allowing the buildings to deteriorate and then to take them for repairs is proposed to be arrested.

-: 4 :-

The response to the formation of the cooperative societies of occupiers, obtaining loan for reconstruction has not been to the expected level as only 102 applications have been received out of 15,000 and odd buildings to which the statute has been applied. Ignoring the fact that the matter is in the Court, the response i.e. 102 buildings applying for transfer of ownership rights can be said to be poor.

Keeping these factors in mind, the Housing Department formulated the proposal which was approved by the Cabinet in its meeting held in November 1986. The Govt. in Urban Development Department issued a directive under Section 154 of the MRTP Act on 20th March, 1987 in which several safeguards to protect the interests of the occupiers like their rehabilitation, consent and a certain share of the extra FSI with Bombay Board have been incorporated. These safeguards coupled with the provisions of the Rent Control Act are adequate in the

20202

1.5.

1.6.

opinion of the Housing Department to give due and adequate protection to the tenants, who are also expected to safeguard their interest. Entry of Builders into this, is therefore, ruled out barring exceptional cases on which general laws can never be based.

131

2. The Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay made out the following points :

- 2.1. Benefit of higher FSI not exceeding 2½ times of the normal FSI has been made available for the reconstruction of buildings carried out by Bombay Housing & Area Development Board (BHADB) as the reconstruction is entirely for re-housing a section of the society which is economically weaker. However, the present proposal of making available extra FSI of 2 is for reconstruction of old and dilapidated cessed buildings by landlords is quite different,
- 2.2. The proposal as stated by the Housing Department involves giving incentive FSI to 5 to 6% buildings only and therefore, the impact of the proposal will at best be only marginal.
- 2.3. The sample survey on which the figures in the Item Note are based do not seem to be representative and accurate as there are in fact large number of old houses/bungalows/buildings in the Island City, particularly in areas such as Mahim, Dadar, etc., where FSI consumed is less than 1.0.
- 2.4. Had the sample survey been carried out in a scientific manner, then it would have been easy to calculate how much extra FSI would actually become available as a result of this proposal in the Island City. These figures ought to be available for informed decision making.
- 2.5. Buildings which have consumed FSI less than 2 or 1.33 as the case may be will throw open new opportunity for unscrupulous builders and developers to take over the buildings, basically with a view

p.t.o..6/-

-: 5 :-

to profiteering at the expense of genuine tenants/occupants, thereby frustrating the basic objective of the scheme. the believe stated at the televestate tradition

-: 6 :-

2.6.

2.7.

3.1.

(81)

Giving incentive FSI to the occupiers would probably be more advantageous and justifiable than giving it to the landlords. Since in most cases the landlords will not be in a position to undertake reconstruction, they will in fact sell the properties to the builders.

Instead of giving benefit of extra FSI to only 5 or 6% of old and dilapidated buildings, the same could perhaps be given for 95% buildings which will otherwise have no incentive whatsoever since in their case consumed FSI is 2 or more.

Summarising the discussion that had earlier taken place, Chief Secretary & Chairman, Executive Committee stated that the proposal submitted by the Housing Department is one of the possible alternatives for reconstruction of old and dilapidated cessed buildings by agencies other than BHADB. Moreover the same proposal could be split up into two parts viz.:

(a) Reconstruction to the extent of existing FSI of such old buildings where FSI already consumed is more than 2; and

have been easy (b) Reconstruction of buildings where FSI consumed is less than 2, by granting them FSI 2 on certain conditions. There are no two opinions about giving this benefit to the cooperative societies formed by occupiers, but where the benefit is to be given to the landlords there was no agreement. The Authority may consider the Housing Department's proposal by splitting it as above.

- \d.

1 2 4 B

3.2.

4.1.

The Chief Secretary also requested members to suggest alternative proposals and desired that a realistic alternative proposals should also be placed for consideration of the Authority in its meeting to be held on 22.3.1988.

Reacting to the above suggestion, Shri Shirish Patel suggested that instead of giving incentive FSI of 5 to 6% to the old and dilapidated cessed buildings, which will lead to further congestion in the Island City, incentive in the form of Transferable Development Rights (TDR), to construct 5% or so additional floor space may be given as an incentive for reconstruction of old and dilapidated cessed buildings. The right to construct additional floor space should, however, be exercised only outside the Island City in the Suburbs and extended Suburbs in the specified zones. In this connection, Shri Shirish Patel referred to the suggestion about Transferable Development Rights made by the Bombay Development Plan Advisory Committee appointed under the Chairmanship of Shri J.B. D'Souza.

Accordingly, it was agreed to place proposals made out above before the Authority meeting on 22.3.1988 together with a gist of discussion that took place at the Executive Committee meeting, so that the Authority could take well considered decision in the matter.

Item No.3 : Application bearing Registration No.513/21/1/88, under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 from Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Bombay.

3.1. The Committee considered the application and noted that the proposal was for construction of Administrative Office Building with floor area of 925.68 sq.metres and FSI 0.02 at C.S. No.2/358 and 4/358, B.P.T. Road, Wadala in 'F' Municipal Ward for shifting existing administrative office (having 20 jobs) of the Sewree Complex Installation therein. The land under reference is included in I-2 Zone as per sanctioned Development Plan proposals and in I-3 Zone

133

p.t.o..8/-

as per Revised Draft Development Plan proposals. Presently, the office is accommodated in the godown No.25 which is 40 years old and requires extensive repairs. Out of the proposed built-up area of 925.68 sq.metres, area admeasuring 255 square metres is to be used for actual office purpose and the remaining area of 670.68 square metres is to be used for other amenities such as canteen, pantry, recreation space, medical facilities, etc.

3.2. The Committee desired to know how much area each of the above mentioned activities will occupy. Prima facie the area of 670.68 sq.metres for canteen, etc. appeared to be on a higher side. If the permission were granted at this stage without knowing the details, the applicant might utilise lateron the excess space created for office purpose and for additional jobs. For want of these details Committee, therefore, rejected the application and desired that the applicant might be allowed to submit a fresh application along with the requisite details.

3.3. Accordingly, the Committee passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.388 :

"Resolved that the application from M/s.Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (bearing Registration No.513/21/1/88), for permission for construction of an administrative office with floor area 925.68 square metres and FSI 0.02, at C.S.No.2/358 and 4/358, BPT Road, Wadala, received in terms of Section 13(2) of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority Act, 1974, be and is hereby rejected for want of sufficient details as recorded in the minutes."

Item No.4 : Application bearing Registration No.514/21/1/88 under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 from Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., Bombay.

4.1. The Committee considered the application and noted that the proposal was for construction of

..9/-

an additional godown with floor area of 2155.75 sq.metres and FSI 0.07 at 'B' Installation at C.S.No.145, Sewree Village, Sewree Fort Road, in 'F' Municipal Ward. The proposed godown is to be used for storage of liquid petroleum gas (LPG) cylinders. It was also noted that earlier the Company had constructed a godown in its 'K' Installation for storage of these cylinders; but the Controller of Explosives, Nagpur, had not approved of this godown location.

4.2. It was noted that the land for the proposed new godown is in I-2 Zone as per sanctioned Development Plan proposals and in I-3 Zone as per Revised Draft Development Plan proposals and that the storage of explosives is permissible in I-3 Zone. Taking into consideration the fact that new godown is to be constructed for safety requirements and as per requirements of Gas Cylinders Rules, 1981, the Committee, granted the permission applied for and accordingly, passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO. 389 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred on it by clause (iv) of sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority Act, 1974, read with sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the said Act and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Committee hereby grants permission to M/s. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd. (application bearing Registration No.514/21/1/88) for construction of a godown with floor area of 2155.75 sq.metres and FSI 0.07 in their 'B' Installation on C.S.No.145, Sewree Village, Sewree Fort Road in 'F' Municipal Ward for storage of LPG Cylinders."

Item No.5 : Application bearing Registration No.515/26/2/88 under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 from Bombay Port Trust, Fort, Bombay.

The Committee considered the application and noted that the proposal was for construction of office building with floor area of 5358.80 sq.metres at south of Blue Gate, Indira Dock, in 'A' Municipal Ward for being used as administrative office. It was noted that in 78th meeting of the Committee, held on 13.4.1983, permission was inter alia granted to Bombay Port Trust for construction of building with floor area of 5605 square metres near Yantra Bhavan, Indira Dock and that

p.t.o..10/-

one of the conditions of grant was that within two years of the grant of permission intended development should start. It was also noted that in this particular case the development has not started and the applicant has now applied for fresh permission which also involves change of location of the building within Indira Dock area. Moreover, in the new proposal, the floor area is reduced by 247.20 square metres. The Committee, therefore, granted the permission applied for and desired that it should be made clear to the party that permission already granted in 1983 shall be treated as cancelled.

Accordingly, the Committee passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.390 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred on it by clause (iv) of the sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority Act, 1974, read with sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the said Act and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Committee hereby grants permission to Bombay Port Trust (Application bearing Registration No.515/26/2/88) for construction of building with floor area of 5358.80 square metres at south of Blue Gate, Indira Dock for being used as administrative office with the condition that the permission earlier granted for construction of similar office building near Yantra Bhavan, Indira Dock in 1983 shall be treated as cancelled."

Item No.6 : Formulation of guidelines for development of Holiday Resorts/ Homes in Bombay Metropolitan Region.

Consideration of this item was deferred.

Item No.7 : Bench mark study of slums to be upgraded under BUDP : SUP.

The Committee considered the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.391 :

"Resolved that the Executive Committee hereby approves the proposal to undertake the Bench mark study

...11/-

near Yantra Bhavan, Indira Do

of the slums to be upgraded under BUDP:SUP and also approves Terms of Reference and Request for Proposal prepared for the purpose.

"Resolved further that the Executive Committee hereby empowers the Metropolitan Commissioner to invite offers from the consultants, to evaluate the offers received and engage the services of consultants with the concurrence of the World Bank for carrying out the proposed bench mark study of the slums to be upgraded under BUDP:SUP."

Item No.8 : Contribution of R.2/- lacs for guest room in the proposed building of the Association of Metropolitan Development Authorities (AMDA) in Siri Institutional Area, Asian Games, Village, New Delhi.

The Committee considered the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.392 :

"Resolved that the Executive Committee hereby recommends to the Authority that contribution of N.2,00,000/-(Rupees two lacs only) be made from the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Fund to the Association of Metropolitan Development Authorities (AMDA) towards construction of guest room for BMRDA in the AMDA's proposed building at New Delhi, as per the details given in its letter No.2/AMDA/88, dated the 4th February, 1988."

Item No.9 : Second Bombay Urban Transport Project (BUTP-II) - Status Report.

9.1. The Metropolitan Commissioner explained the background and issues arising out of the visit of World Bank Mission in February 1988 and the views of Department of Economic Affairs, Government of India, particularly the following :-

> (a) The urgent need for an overall review of Govt. of Maharashtra's financial commitments to various externally aided projects in Urban Sector such as Bombay Urban Development Project, Maharashtra Water Supply and Sewerage Project, etc. and the reconfirmation of commitment to make requisite plan provisions for implementation of BUTP-II.

> > p.t.o..12/-

-: 11 :-

137

- (b) The need for an early decision by Govt. in Urban Development Department on the proposal of Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay for tapping additional sources of revenue for improving the resource base of Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay with a view to enabling Bombay Municipal Corporation to give the necessary commitment for providing adequate funds for implementing BUTP Sub-projects for which Bombay Municipal Corporation is responsible.
 - (c) The need for Govt. of Maharashtra to firm up its position regarding the Bank's stand on adequate investments on selected commuter rail projects as part of BUTP-II.
- (d) The Department of Economic Affairs' advice that the BUTP-II must be negotiated by June, 1989, failing which Department of Economic Affairs may be forced to postpone it by about 2 years, in view of their other commitments.

9.2. Metropolitan Commissioner also urged Municipal Commissioner to consider giving a written commitment to provide counter part funds for BUTP-II, in anticipation of Govt. of Maharashtra's acceptance of its proposal to enable Bombay Municipal Corporation to raise more resources, and in view of the expected average annual outflow for BUTP-II (R.20 crores per annum) being a very small part of Bombay Municipal Corporation's annual budget.

9.3. The Chairman, responding to the discussion agreed that the various points will have to be considered in depth at an early date in separate meetings. He also mentioned that the Government of Maharashtra was trying to secure a policy decision which will call for 100% additionality by Govt. of India and 60% reimbursement by World Bank in all future World Bank projects.

..13/-

Item No.10 : Truck Terminal at Wadala -A Status Report.

-: 13 :-

10.1. The Metropolitan Commissioner outlined the status of the project and the work so far done in enacting the legislation, the progress of which was held up by Finance Department's objections to some fundamental aspects of the project such as - (a) whether BMRDA should at all construct Truck Terminal or whether it should be given to some other agency e.g. CIDCO for execution; (b) whether there was any subsidy involved in the price of land or in the price of premises to be sold; (c) whether there was likely to be any jurisdictional conflict with Bombay Municipal Corporation, etc.

10.2. Although these points had been examined and explanations on each furnished to Finance Department and despite these issues having little bearing on the proposed legislation - the need for which has been propounded from the very feasibility stage and also acknowledged and advocated by Executive Committee and at other levels (including Chief Minister) - the Finance Department has still not given any clearance to the draft Cabinet note on the proposed draft legislation.

10.3. The Chairman stated that the draft legislation must be delinked from the issue of project viability and the legislation must be enacted without further delay. He advised that a meeting may be arranged with Finance Department to sort out all doubts and queries so that the legislation can go before the Cabinet without delay.

10.4. The Metropolitan Commissioner also pointed out the problem regarding construction of Sion-Koliwada connector road which Bombay Municipal Corporation had refused to take up despite it being a D.P. Road and despite BMRDA's offer of interest-free advance. The Municipal Commissioner agreed to take up the work on priority if BMRDA gives interest free loan to Bombay Municipal Corporation for executing the project.

Item No.11 : Disposal of Matador Van No.MMU-3319.

The Committee considered the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.393 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred

p.t.o..14/-

on it by clause (vi) of sub-section (2) of Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974, the Executive Committee hereby approves the proposal to sell the Matador Van No.MMU-3319 at the tendered price of Rs.28,139/- (Rupees twenty eight thousand one hundred and thirty nine only) (all inclusive) to Shri Ibrahim J. Mansuri, being the highest tenderer."

Item No.12 : Transfer Petitions No.573 of 1987 and No.574 of 1987 in Supreme Court of India - Appointment of Advocates to appear on behalf of B.M.R.D.A.

The Committee considered the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.394 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (vi) of sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974, and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee hereby accords post facto sanction to the appointments of Shri K.K. Singhvi and Shri Anil Kumar Gupta, Advocates to defend Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority, in Writ Petitions No.573 of 1987 and No.574 of 1987 in the Supreme Court of India at New Delhi.

"Resolved further that the Metropolitan Commissioner be and is hereby authorised to incur expenditure on proceedings, legal fees and other incidentals as may be necessary."

Item No.13 : Re-employment on contract basis of Shri S.U. Dohole as Assistant Lands Officer (Survey & Measurement) in the Lands Cell, BMRDA.

The Metropolitan Commissioner informed the Committee that in view of exigencies of work Shri Dohole has been re-employed with effect from 1st March 1988 to which the Committee agreed. The Committee then passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.395 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (i) of sub-section (3) of

.. 15/-

Benefit stor strathers' entr

Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974, the Executive Committee hereby accords its sanction to Shri S.U. Dohole, being re-employed as Assistant Lands Officer (Survey and Measurement) in BMRDA, on contract basis for a period of one year, with effect from 1st March 1988, on the terms and conditions prescribed in the Annexure to the Item Note, as proposed.

"Resolved further that pending fixation of his consolidated salary, Shri S.U. Dohole be paid last basic pay only drawn by him at the time of his retirement on superannuation, as remuneration in BMRDA as Assistant Lands Officer (Survey and Measurement), as proposed in the Item Note."

Item No.14 : Re-employment of Shri R.R. Chavan, Assistant Secretary from Mantralaya as Staff Officer in B.M.R.D.A.

The Committee considered the Item Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO. 396 :

"Resolved to amend the Resolution No.382 to the extent that the figure of Basic Pay of No.960/- appearing therein may be read as No.1,000/- per month."

Item No.15 : Quarterly accounts of the BMRDA for the quarter ending 31st December, 1987.

The Committee considered the quarterly accounts and approved the same.

The following Item was then placed before the Committee as a Table Item, with the permission of the Chair :

Table Item No.1 : Application bearing Registration No.516/1/3/88 under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 from Fishery Survey of India, Bombay.

The Committee considered the application and noted that the proposal was for construction of a building with floor area of 5050 sq.metres, with FSI 1.01, on Survey No.1/600, C.S. No.14 of Colaba Division, in 'A'

141

p.t.o..16/-

Municipal Ward. The proposed building is intended to be used as Facility Centre, Reference Collection, Lecture Hall, Computer Centre, Laboratory, Museum, Auditorium, etc. The land under reference is designated as 'Area for Fishing Harbour and Allied Activities' as per sanctioned Development Plan proposals. However, as per Revised Draft Development Plan published by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay, the said land was designated as 'Recreation Ground'. Consequent upon the objections lodged by the applicant, the Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay while submitting Revised Draft Development Plan for State Government's sanction, has designated the said land as "Fish Harbour". The Committee also noted the elaborate justification given by the applicant in which it was inter alia mentioned that their office is located in Bombay from 1946 with the object of development of fisheries in India; that there will not be any addition of jobs by the applicant; that the proposal will only involve maximum utilisation of the installed machinery, equipments and provision of Laboratory and other technical facilities for the working of the organisation; and all these activities necessarily require water front. It was also noted that the applicant had not furnished the details about floor area, FSI, etc. as these were not worked out by the Central Public Works Department pending permission from BMRDA/State Government. The Committee considered the matter and decided to grant the permission applied for and accordingly passed the following Resolution :

-: 16 :-

RESOLUTION NO.397 :

INP

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred on it by clause (iv) of the sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974, read with sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the said Act and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee hereby grants permission to Fishery Survey of India (application bearing Registration No.516/1/3/88) for construction of

p. t.co. 16/-

.. 17/-

building with floor area of 5050 square metres and FSI 1.01 on Survey No.1/600, C.S. No.14, Colaba Division, in 'A' Municipal Ward for being used as Facility Centre, Reference Collection, Lecture Hall, Computer Centre, Laboratory, Museum, Auditorium, etc."

The Meeting then terminated with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

.