

No.EXC/MTG/121

249
BOMBAY METROPOLITAN REGION
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,
Plot Nos.C-14 & C-15, 'E' Block,
Bandra-Kurla Complex,
Bandra (East), Bombay-400 051.

Date : 25th September, 1989.

The minutes of the Hundred and Twenty-First Meeting of the Executive Committee of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority, held on the 17th August, 1989, are enclosed.

S.V. ASGAONKAR
(S.V. ASGAONKAR) 25/9/89
SECRETARY
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, General Administration Department, Mantralaya	- Chairman
The Metropolitan Commissioner, BMRDA	- Member
The Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Urban Development Department, Mantralaya	- Member
The Secretary to the Government of Maharashtra, Housing & Special Assistance Department, Mantralaya	- Member
The Municipal Commissioner, Bombay Municipal Corporation	- Member
The Managing Director, C.I.D.C.O., Bombay	- Member
Shri Charles M. Correa, Correa Consultants Pvt. Ltd., 9, Mathew Road, Bombay-400 004	- Member
Shri Shirish B. Patel, SPA Consultants Pvt. Ltd., 41, Nagindas Master Road, Bombay - 400 023	- Member
Dr. P.G. Patankar, Director, Central Institute of Road Transport, (Training and Research), Pune-Nasik Road, PUNE - 411 026	- Member

INVITEES :

The Financial Adviser, BMRDA
The Legal Adviser, BMRDA

MINUTES OF THE 121ST MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

B.M.R.D.A.

DATE : 17th August, 1989 (Thursday)

TIME : 11.00 a.m.

PLACE : Chief Secretary's Committee Room,
5th Floor, Mantralaya.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Shri D.M. Sukthankar, - Chairman
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Maharashtra

Shri S.R. Kakodkar, - Member
Metropolitan Commissioner

Shri B.K. Agarwal, - Member
Secretary to the
Government of Maharashtra,
Housing & Special
Assistance Department

Shri S.S. Tinaikar, - Member
Municipal Commissioner,
Bombay Municipal Corporation

Shri Charles M. Correa - Member

Shri Shirish B. Patel - Member

Dr. P.G. Patankar - Member

INVITEES :

Shri K.R. Shanbhogue, Financial Adviser, BMRDA, and

Shri K.N. Patel, Legal Adviser, BMRDA

Shri S.V. Asgaonkar, Secretary, Executive Committee, BMRDA

Item No.1 : Confirmation of the minutes
of the last (120th) Meeting
of the Committee.

The minutes were confirmed.

Item No.2 : Application under Section 13 of
the BMRDA Act, 1974 from the
Department of Posts (Bombay City
South Division) - Construction
of departmental Post Office
Building at Gen. Bhosle Marg,
Bombay - Sanction for the marginal
increase in area.

2.1. The Committee noted that the application

under...

under reference was for permission to the marginal extra floor area of 20.95 sq.mtrs. being used for Post Office thereby raising the total floor area to 1018.69 sq.mtrs. and the FSI to 1.329. It was seen that the extra floor space was due to proposed use of cantilevered projections as cupboards which is included in FSI computation.

2.2. The Committee considered the matter and decided to grant the permission applied for. The following Resolution was passed :

RESOLUTION NO.432 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred on it by clause (iv) of sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority Act, 1974, read with sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the said Act and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Committee hereby grants permission to The Department of Posts (Bombay City South Division), (Application bearing Registration No.533/15/05/89) to use extra floor area viz. 20.95 sq.mtrs. in the building on S.No.1972, Plot No.151-A, Block III, BBRS at Gen. Bhosle Marg, in 'A' Municipal Ward for Departmental Post Office, aggregating the Post Office floor area to 1018.69 sq.mtrs. and the F.S.I. to 1.329."

Item No.3 : Proposal of the Bombay Municipal Corporation to make available incentive of extra FSI for reconstruction of old buildings on Municipal lands (non-cessed buildings) by the co-operative housing societies formed by the occupants of such buildings.

3.1. The Committee considered the request of the Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay that FSI 2.00 should also be granted to co-operative societies of the occupants of old and dilapidated buildings on municipal lands for their reconstruction in the Island City on the lines of similar FSI already granted to co-operative societies for reconstruction of dilapidated cessed buildings of category 'A' located on private lands.

The...

The Committee felt that since the purpose is the same viz. reconstruction of old and dilapidated buildings in the Island City, Government should consider granting the incentive FSI of 2.00 to co-operative societies of occupants of such buildings on municipal and Government lands also. If such higher FSI is granted by Government after due consideration, it should be exempted from the purview of BMRDA's Notification under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act.

3.2. In the course of discussion on this item, members observed that the benefit of this higher FSI would at the most go to only 5 to 6% of the old and dilapidated buildings, since nearly 95% of such buildings in the Island City have already consumed FSI of 2.00 or more. Hence there is a need to devise a scheme which will facilitate the reconstruction of all the old and dilapidated buildings which need to be redeveloped rather than taking care of only a small fraction of such buildings.

3.3. Members also felt that giving the benefit of FSI 2.00 to all buildings which have consumed FSI of less than 2.00 will neither be reasonable nor equitable since there are still quite a few old buildings/bungalows in the Island City with consumed FSI of less than 1.00. Grant of FSI 2.00 on uniform basis will confer enormous bounty on the owners of such buildings with low consumed FSI, while buildings which have already consumed FSI of 1.5 or more will get paltry benefit. Hence, there will be strong temptation on the part of owners/builders to indulge in huge profiteering by demolishing buildings with low consumed FSI, which ought not to be encouraged. A better alternative would, therefore, be to grant additional FSI amounting to a certain percentage of the consumed FSI for the purpose of making reconstruction of the old and dilapidated buildings a viable proposition.

3.4. Shri Shirish Patel suggested that instead of giving incentive of higher FSI for reconstruction of old and dilapidated cessed buildings, which will lead to increased congestion in the Island City, incentive in the form of Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) should be given which should be used only in the less congested parts of Greater

Bombay...

Bombay outside the Island City or better still in selected nodes of New Bombay. It was pointed out that there would be legal difficulties in transferring development rights from the jurisdiction of one local authority to another. To overcome this, suitable amendments to the MR&TP Act as well as the D.C. Rules of the respective local authorities would be necessary. It was decided to seek legal opinion on this issue.

3.5. Shri Charles Correa suggested that a part of the monetary benefit derived from utilisation of extra FSI proposed to be granted in the Island City for reconstruction of old and dilapidated buildings should be mopped up by Government and utilised for strengthening the infrastructure in the Island City.

3.6. Municipal Commissioner, Shri Tinaikar, pointed out that builders tend to take unfair advantage of the incentive of additional FSI being made available for redevelopment of old properties. In this connection, he cited two specific cases which were received in the Bombay Municipal Corporation where the developer (Shri Yusuf Patel) appears to have been given permission by the Bombay Housing & Area Development Board (BHADB) to redevelop properties with FSI of 2.00 even though it would have been possible to reconstruct them within FSI of 1.33. He also mentioned the case of Kedy Apartments at Bellasis Road where even though fraudulent increase of FSI from 1.33 to 1.66 had been detected and criminal prosecution of officers from the BMC and the Survey Department who were, prima facie, responsible for the fraud had been sanctioned by the Government, the property owner (Shri Yusuf Patel) had ^{been} granted a letter of intent by the BHADB for FSI of 2.00. This would not only amount to regularising the fraudulent act of utilising excess FSI but also go a step further and confer a still higher FSI than what was fraudulently appropriated. Shri Tinaikar further pointed out that BMC had received copies of many such letters of intent issued by the BHADB, which indicated that builders who were not really the owners of the properties have, through manipulation of some documents, projected themselves as promoters of

co-operative....

co-operative housing societies of existing tenants and obtained clearance from the BHADB for redevelopment of the property with additional FSI which they propose to dispose of at market value and reap huge profits. In view of this, Shri Tinaikar strongly urged that the above cases should be reviewed by the Government so that past cases of FSI violations are not allowed to be regularised by taking shelter under the new policy of granting incentive FSI for reconstruction of old and dilapidated buildings by genuine co-operative societies of actual occupants of such buildings.

3.7. Shri Shirish Patel suggested that the question whether grant of FSI 2.00 or consumed FSI, whichever is higher for reconstruction of old and dilapidated buildings can apply with retrospective effect to properties which had been taken up for reconstruction prior to the date of Government Regulations should be got legally examined by the Housing Department. It was decided that the Housing Department should bring up a comprehensive note giving the different aspects of the problems before the next meeting of the Executive Committee so that the matter could be intensively discussed and a considered decision could be taken whether the Revised Special Regulations issued by the Urban Development Department, in consultation with the Housing and Special Assistance Department, under No.FSI 1188/1000/UD-11, dated 10.1.1989 need to be amended suitably so as to avoid the possibility of misuse, etc.

Item No.4 : Residential development in 'G' Zone of Bombay Metropolitan Region.

Consideration of the item was deferred.

Item No.5 : Making available increased grants to the local authorities for improvement of Road network in outer areas of Bombay Metropolitan Region for enabling year-round M.S.R.T.C. services.

The Committee noted that the Authority, in its meeting held on 12th April, 1989, had approved the proposal to raise the BMRDA's contribution from 50% to 75% of the estimated cost of improvement of road network in outer areas of Bombay Metropolitan Region.

Item No.6 : Investment of surplus funds.

The Committee approved the proposal contained in the...

the Agenda Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.433 :

"Resolved that, in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause (v) of sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee hereby accords its post facto sanction to the investment of surplus fund made from BMRD Fund in the SICOM and the MELTRON by the Financial Adviser and the Metropolitan Commissioner.

"Resolved further that, the Metropolitan Commissioner is hereby authorised to invest BMRDA's surplus funds in State Government Undertakings/Corporations as and when occasion arises in the future."

Item No.7 : Tenders and Estimates for the works of deepening, widening and streamlining Mithi flow (A) From Ch.2650 M. to Ch.3300 M. near Vakola Bridge, and (B) From Ch.3300 to 4000 M. parallel to L.B.S. Marg.

The Committee approved the proposal contained in the Agenda Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.434 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3)(ii) of Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974, and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee hereby (i) approves the reduced estimates of Channelisation for Work-A, from Ch. 2650 M. to Ch. 3300 M. near Vakola Bridge of Rs.58,77,430/- and (B) from Ch.3300 M. to Ch.4000 M. parallel to L.B.S. Marg of Rs.55,36,113/- for the work portion plus cost contingencies and centage charges; (ii) authorises the Metropolitan Commissioner to execute them from BMRD/DPDC Fund or ^{from funds available} under the Special Assistance of Rs.50 crores recommended by the Ninth Finance Commission; and (iii) authorises the Chief Engineer to accept the tenders as recommended."

Item No.8 : Development of Mahim Nature Park -
Management of Park.

Consideration of the item was deferred.

Item No.9...

Item No.9 : Aerial Survey of Vasai-Virar Area.

The Committee felt that for a proper appreciation of the need and usefulness of Aerial Survey of Vasai-Virar area, the representatives of National Remote Sensing Agency should be asked to make a presentation before the Committee and show actual samples of similar work they might have done for other areas. It was, therefore, decided to invite the representatives of National Remote Sensing Agency to make such a presentation and demonstration before the next meeting of the Committee.

Item No.10 : Identification of ground water potential zones and construction material zones in Bombay Metropolitan Region using Remote Control Technique.

The Committee approved the proposal contained in the Agenda Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.435 :

"Resolved that post facto approval is hereby granted to the proposal of assigning to the Space Application Centre (ISRO), Ahmedabad, the additional tasks of identification of Ground Water Potential Zones and Identification of the Construction Material Zones in the Bombay Metropolitan Region, at a cost of Rs.25,000/- only.

"Resolved further that the Metropolitan Commissioner be and is hereby authorised to depute to the Space Application Centre, Ahmedabad, one officer from the BMRDA for a period of two weeks for assisting the Space Application Centre to carry out the interpretation works."

Item No.11 : Request of Unit Trust of India for allotment of commercial plot in 'G-N' Block of Bandra-Kurla Complex.

11.1. The Committee considered the Item Note and recommended that the Authority may be approached for allotment of a commercial plot admeasuring 5000 sq.mtrs. (with 10000 sq.mtrs. permissible built-up area) in 'G-N' Block of the Bandra-Kurla Complex (BKC) to Unit Trust of India (UTI) by making necessary changes in the layout plan of the 'G-N' Block and also change in the user of the plot from Receiving

Station...

Station to Commercial User by effecting a minor modification in the manner as prescribed in the MR&TP Act.

11.2. As regards premium to be charged for the plot, the Committee recommended the rate of Rs.11,500/- (Rupees eleven thousand five hundred only) per F.S.I. square metre considering the fact that it is rather disadvantageously located compared to the plots in 'E' Block of the Bandra-Kurla Complex.

Item No.12 : Application under Section 13 of BMRDA Act, 1974 from the C.P.W.D. (Wz), Bombay - Construction of a building for Maintenance Division on S.No.88, Kane Nagar in F/North Municipal Ward.

12.1. The Committee noted that the application under reference was for permission to construct a building (Ground + 4 upper storeys) with floor area of 1621.23 sq.mtrs. for the Maintenance Division of the C.P.W.D. However, as per the sanctioned Development Plan, the land to bear the proposed building was designated partly for "Police Station" and partly for "Post Office". As per the Revised Draft Development Plan proposals, it was designated as "Police Station/Police Post".

12.2. In view of the designation of land as above, the proposal was not permissible under the law. The Committee, therefore, decided to reject the application as not maintainable and accordingly passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.436 :

"Resolved that the application submitted by the Central Public Works Department (Wz), Bombay, (bearing registration No.534/11/07/89) for construction of building with floor area of 1621.23 sq.mtrs. and F.S.I. 1.31 on S.No.88, Sector 1, Salt Pan Land, Kane Nagar, in 'F/N' Ward being not within the powers of the Committee and, therefore, not maintainable is hereby rejected, for the reasons recorded in the minutes."

Item No.13....

258

Item No.13 : Application under Section 13 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 from the Bombay Port Trust - Construction of a building for Booking Centre, etc. for Dock labourers on C.S. No.145 (Part), Plot No.22, Mazgaon Sewree Reclamation Road in 'F-South' Municipal Ward.

13.1. The Committee noted that the application under reference was seeking permission for construction of a building with floor area of 1579.54 sq.mtrs. and F.S.I. 0.757, for being used as Booking Centre for Dock labourers and for relocating the services of the Port Trust, Customs and other agencies required for the adjoining Industrial Zone, under one roof.

13.2. It was represented that the plot of land to bear the proposed building though situated in Residential Zone, was in the vicinity of Container Freight Stations at Timber Pond and Manganese Ore Depot and also Haji Bunder Dump Warehouse and, being near the Sewree Railway Station, was the ideal plot for locating the "Operation Service Centre" (OSC). It was also seen that offices of Government, public utility services and local bodies are permissible in Residential Zone.

13.3. In view of the foregoing, the Committee decided to grant the permission applied for, with the condition that the space vacated by existing offices after their relocation in the proposed building should as far as possible be used for residential purpose and in any case should not be used and/or let out for office purpose. The Committee accordingly passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.437 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred on it by clause (iv) of Sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority Act, 1974, read with Sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the said Act and all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Committee hereby accords permission to the Bombay Port Trust (BPT) (Application bearing registration No.535/19/7/89)

for...

for construction of a building with floor area 1579.54 sq.mtrs. and FSI 0.757, on C.S.No.145 (Part), Plot No.22, Mazgaon-Sewree Reclamation Road, in 'F/South' Municipal Ward, for being used as Booking Centre for Dock labourers and for relocating services of the Port Trust, Customs and other agencies required for the adjoining Industrial Zone, under one roof, subject to the condition that the BPT shall, as far as possible use the space vacated by offices, after their relocation in the proposed building, for residential purpose and shall not, in any case, let it out for office/commercial use."

Item No.14 : Request of M/s. Gas Authority of India Ltd., and the United Bank of India to allot to them built-up premises in BMRDA's Office Building in 'E' Block, Bandra-Kurla Complex.

14.1. The Committee noted that the Gas Authority of India Ltd. (GAIL) had requested the BMRDA to allot to them about 10,000 sq.ft. built-up space in the BMRDA's building and that in its last meeting, held on the 28th April 1989, the Committee had recommended allotment of about 4171 sq.ft. (387.51 sq.mtrs.) built-up space on the 2nd floor (left wing) of the building to them on leave and licence basis, initially for a period of three years, on a basic compensation of Rs.18/- (Rupees eighteen only) per sq.ft. per month, all levies and taxes as well service charges, etc., on pro rata basis being borne by the GAIL. In view of the fact that about 4880 sq.ft. (453.50 sq.mtrs.) of additional surplus office space is available on the 3rd floor (left wing) of BMRDA's office building for disposal, the Committee recommended that the said additional space be also allotted to the GAIL on the same terms and conditions.

14.2. The Committee also noted that 6095 sq.ft. (566.23 sq.mtrs.) built-up space on the 1st floor (left wing) of the building which was specially designed for use of a Bank and was available for allotment was required by the United Bank of India for shifting their Kalanagar Branch (presently housed in the

premises....

premises of Drive-in-Theatre). Taking into consideration the fact that the said space is vantageously located and specially designed for a bank, the Committee recommended the allotment thereof to the Unit Bank of India, on leave and licence basis, initially for a period of 3 (three) years, on a basic compensation of Rs.20/-(Rupees twenty only) per sq.ft. per month, with all levies and taxes as well service charges, etc. on pro rata basis being borne by the Bank additionally.

Item No.15 : Request of MHADA to grant "No Objection" for construction of a building containing residential quarters and guest rooms within the precincts of Griha Nirman Bhavan, Bandra.

15.1. The Committee noted that the request of the MHADA to grant "No Objection" for the proposed building in the precincts of Griha Nirman Bhavan, Bandra involved two basic issues, so far as the BMRDA was concerned, viz. FSI and user of the land.

As regards F.S.I., the MHADA had contended that the FSI available to them was on the basis of the Colony Plan prepared and lodged by them with the Municipal Corporation of Greater Bombay (MCGB) sometime between 1960-62. On that basis, the FSI consumption of the colony, together with the proposed building, would come to only 0.67 as against the permissible FSI 1.00. As per the advice of the Legal Adviser, BMRDA, the MHADA's claim was tenable at law.

As regards land-use, the MHADA wanted the proposed building for residential user, while in the sanctioned Development Plan the land-use of the plot in question was designated as "MHB Office" and in the Revised Draft Development Plan proposals it was shown as "MHADA and Government Offices". The Committee took note of the Legal Adviser's opinion that the land-use under reference was designated with unusual specificity in view of the fact that it was in the midst of residential colony and that even its context in the Development Plan permits the ancillary or incidental residential land-use, since in Commercial Zone, Residential user is also permissible.

15.2. Taking into consideration the Legal Adviser's

views...

views on both the issues, the Committee approved MHADA's proposal and authorised the Metropolitan Commissioner to issue "No Objection" for the residential building under reference.

Item No.16 : Extension of service of Shri G.K. Kulkarni, Senior Planner, after his superannuation.

16.1. The Committee considered the Item Note and approved the proposal to retain the services of Shri G.K. Kulkarni, for a period of one year after his normal superannuation, as a very exceptional case.

16.2. The Committee decided that upon his superannuation on attaining the age of 58 years, Shri G.K. Kulkarni, should be re-employed for a period of one year on contract basis. As regards Shri Kulkarni's remuneration, the Committee decided that he should be paid a monthly lump sum amount equivalent to his last pay drawn at the time of superannuation plus the monetary value of perquisites he drew at the time of his superannuation.

16.3. The Committee accordingly sanctioned re-employment on contract basis of Shri G.K. Kulkarni for a period of 1 year from the date of his superannuation and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.438 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause (i) of sub-section (3) of Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974, the Executive Committee hereby accords its sanction to Shri G.K. Kulkarni being re-employed as Senior Planner in BMRDA, on contract basis, for a period of one year, with effect from 1st November 1989, on the following terms and conditions :

(1) That the contract of service will be for a period of one year or till he completes the age of 59 years.

(2) That in consideration of his service,

he....

he will be paid a consolidated remuneration of Rs.7825/- per month with no other remuneration in any form being payable to him.

- (3) That he will be eligible for the benefit of T.A., Leave and Medical benefits at the rates and on conditions applicable to the employees of the Authority as if he is such employee. He will not be eligible for any Pension, Provident Fund, Bonus or Gratuity or any other benefits available to the employees of the Authority.
- (4) That the Authority shall have absolute and unfettered freedom and authority to terminate this contract of service by giving him a notice of not less than 24 hours.
- (5) That any dispute or difference of opinion arising from the interpretation of this contract or its performance or discharge or the determination of mutual rights and obligations shall be referred to the Metropolitan Commissioner whose decision shall be final and non-appealable. The arbitration shall be subject to the applicable provisions of the Arbitration Act, 1940."

Item No.17 : Repeal of BMRDA (Officers/Employees) Marathi Language Examination Rules, 1983.

The Committee approved the proposal contained in the Agenda Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.439 :

"Resolved that the Executive Committee hereby repeals 'The Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority (Officers) Marathi Language Examination Rules, 1983' and 'The Bombay Metropolitan Region Development Authority (Employees) Marathi Language Examination Rules, 1983' framed by it under Resolution No.243, dated 9th June 1983, provided that anything done or any action already taken under the Rules so repealed shall be deemed to have been done or taken under the provisions of these Rules on the application of the

Government...

Government
/Rules notified under No.MABH-1087/14/CR 2/87/20, dated
30th December 1987, as proposed."

Item No.18 : Appointment of an Advocate to appear
on behalf of the BMRDA in Writ
Petition No.1402 of 1989 - Bombay
Environmental Action Group
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Others.

The Committee decided to engage Shri V.A.
Gangal, Advocate, to appear on behalf of BMRDA in
Bombay High Court in the above Writ Petition and
passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.440 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers
conferred under clause (vi) of sub-section (3) of
Section 7 of the BMRDA Act, 1974 and all other powers
enabling it in this behalf, the Executive Committee
hereby accords its sanction to the appointment of
Shri V.A. Gangal, Advocate, to appear on behalf of
the BMRDA in Writ Petition No.1402 of 1989 in the
High Court of Bombay and authorises the Metropolitan
Commissioner to incur necessary expenditure on
proceedings, legal fees and other incidentals as
may be necessary, as proposed."

Item No.19 : Proposed disciplinary proceedings
against Shri P.M. Kolte, Junior
Accounts Officer, F&A Division, BMRDA.

The Committee approved the proposal contained
in the Agenda Note and passed the following Resolution :

RESOLUTION NO.441 :

"Resolved that in exercise of the powers
vested in it, under Section 7(3) of the BMRDA Act, 1974,
and all other powers enabling it, the Executive
Committee hereby accords its approval to institute
disciplinary proceedings against Shri P.M. Kolte,
Junior Accounts Officer, F&A Division, for not
vacating BMRDA staff quarters at Andheri despite being
directed to do so on the expiry of the temporary period
for which he was allowed to occupy the same.

"Further...

"Further resolved that the Personnel Officer, BMRDA, be and is hereby authorised to sign the Charge Sheet and all other necessary documents from time to time in this regard, on behalf of the Executive Committee and the Metropolitan Commissioner be and is hereby authorised to appoint an Enquiry Officer to enquire into the charges levelled against Shri P.M. Kolte, Junior Accounts Officer."

The Meeting then terminated with a vote of thanks to the Chair.
